arXiv:math/0505488v1 [math.GT] 24 May 2005

ON THE ARCHIMEDEAN OR SEMIREGULAR POLYHEDRA

Mark B. Villarino
Depto. de Matemática, Universidad de Costa Rica,
2060 San José, Costa Rica

May 11, 2005

Abstract

We prove that there are thirteen ARCHIMEDEAN/semiregular polyhedra by using EULER's polyhedral formula.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Regular Polyhedra 2
1.2 Archimedean/semiregular polyhedra 2

2 Proof techniques **3**
2.1 Euclid's proof for regular polyhedra 3
2.2 Euler's polyhedral formula for regular polyhedra 4
2.3 Proofs of Archimedes' theorem 4

3 Three lemmas **5**
3.1 Lemma 1 5
3.2 Lemma 2 6
3.3 Lemma 3 7

4 Topological Proof of Archimedes' theorem **8**
4.1 Case 1: five faces meet at a vertex: r=5 8
4.1.1 At least one face is a triangle: $p_1 = 3$ 8
4.1.2 All faces have at least four sides: $p_1 \geqslant 4$ 9
4.2 Case 2: four faces meet at a vertex: r=4 10
4.2.1 At least one face is a triangle: $p_1 = 3$ 10
4.2.2 All faces have at least four sides: $p_1 \geqslant 4$ 11
4.3 Case 3: three faces meet at a vertes: r=3 11
4.3.1 At least one face is a triangle: $p_1 = 3$ 11
4.3.2 All faces have at least four sides and one exactly four sides: $p_1 = 4 \leqslant p_2 \leqslant p_3$. 12
4.3.3 All faces have at least five sides and one exactly five sides: $p_1 = 5 \leqslant p_2 \leqslant p_3$ 13

5 Summary of our results **13**

6 Final remarks **14**

1 Introduction

1.1 Regular Polyhedra

A ***polyhedron*** may be intuitively conceived as a "solid figure" bounded by plane faces and straight line edges so arranged that every edge joins exactly *two* (no more, no less) vertices and is a common side of two faces.

A polyhedron is ***regular*** if all its ***faces*** are regular polygons (with the same number of sides) and all its ***vertices*** are regular polyhedral angles; that is to say, all the face angles at every vertex are congruent and all the dihedral angles are congruent. An immediate consequence of the definition is that all the faces of the polyhedron are congruent.

There are FIVE such regular convex polyhedra, a fact known since PLATO's time, at least, and all of Book XIII of EUCLID is devoted to proving it, as well as showing how to construct them: the ***tetrahedron***, the ***cube***, the ***octahedron***, the ***dodecahedron***, and the ***icosahedron.***

The following table summarizes the basic data on the regular polyhedra.

Regular Polyhedra

NAME	POLYGONS FORMING FACES	VERTICES	EDGES	FACES	NUMBER OF FACES AT EACH VERTEX
Tetrahedron	**Triangles**	4	6	4	3
Octahedron	**Triangles**	6	12	8	4
Icosahedron	**Triangles**	12	30	20	5
Cube	**Squares**	8	12	6	3
Dodecahedron	**Pentagons**	20	30	12	3

1.2 Archimedean/semiregular polyhedra

It's reasonable to ask what happens if we *forgo* some of the conditions for regularity. ARCHIMEDES [1] investigated the polyhedra that arise if we *retain* the condition that the faces have to be regular polygons, but *replace* the regularity of the polyhedral angles at each vertex by the *weaker* condition that they all be congruent (see LINES [6]). Such solids are called ***Archimedean*** or ***semiregular*** polyhedra.

Theorem 1. *(**Archimedes' Theorem**) There are **THIRTEEN** semiregular polyhedra as well as two infinite groups: the prisms and the antiprisms.*

The following table summarizes the data on the thirteen semiregular polyhedra. The labels are self-explanatory except for the *C & R symbol* [3]: $\mathbf{a^b.c^d}$... *means* **b** *regular*

a-*gons,* **d** *regular* **c**-*gons, . . . meet at a vertex.* Moreover the number of regular k-gonal facets is denoted by F_k.

Thus, for example, the *cuboctahedron* has 12 vertices, 24 edges, 14 facets, of which 8 are equilateral triangles and 6 are squares. Moreover, at each vertex one has a triangle, a square, a triangle, a square, in that cyclic order.

Archimedean Polyhedra

NAME	V	E	F	F_3	F_4	F_5	F_6	F_8	F_{10}	C & R Symbol
cuboctahedron	12	24	14	8	6					$(3.4)^2$
great rhombicosidodecahedron	120	180	62		30		20		12	4.6.10
great rhombicuboctahedron	48	72	26		12		8	6		4.6.8
icosidodecahedron	30	60	32	20		12				$(3.5)^2$
small rhombicosidodecahedron	60	120	62	20	30	12				(3.4.5.4)
small rhombicuboctahedron	24	48	26	8	18					3.4^3
snub cube	24	60	38	32	6					$3^4.4$
snub dodecahedron	60	150	92	80		12				$3^4.5$
truncated cube	24	36	14	8				6		3.8^2
truncated dodecahedron	60	90	32	20					12	3.10^2
truncated icosahedron	60	90	32			12	20			4.6.10
truncated octahedron	24	36	14		6		8			4.6^2
truncated tetrahedron	12	18	8	4			4			3.6^2

2 Proof techniques

2.1 Euclid's proof for regular polyhedra

Euclid's proof (Proposition XVIII, Book XIII) is based on the ***polyhedral angle inequality***: *the sum of the face angles at a vertex cannot exceed* 2π, as well as on the fact that *the internal angle of a regular p-gon is* $\pi - \frac{2\pi}{p}$.

Thus, if q faces meet at each vertex

$$\Rightarrow q\left(\pi - \frac{2\pi}{p}\right) < 2\pi \tag{2.1.1}$$

$$\Rightarrow (p-2)(q-2) < 4 \tag{2.1.2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (p,q) = (3,3),\ (4,3),\ (3,4),\ (5,3),\ (3,5) \tag{2.1.3}$$

which give the tetrahedron, cube, octahedron, dodecahedron, and icosahedron respectively.

Of course the key step is to obtain (2.1.2). Euclid does it by (2.1.1) which expresses a *metrical* relation among angle measures.

One presumes that Archimedes applied more complex versions of (2.1.1) and (2.1.2) to prove that the semiregular solids are those thirteen already listed. Unfortunately, his treatise was lost over two thousand years ago!

2.2 Euler's polyhedral formula for regular polyhedra

Almost the same amount of time passed before somebody came up with an entirely new proof of (2.1.2), and therefore of (2.1.3). In 1752 EULER, [4], published his famous ***polyhedral formula:***

$$\boxed{V - E + F = 2} \tag{2.2.1}$$

in which $V :=$ the number of vertices of the polyhedron, $E :=$ the number of edges, and $F :=$ the number of faces. This formula is valid for any polyhedron that is homeomorphic to a sphere.

The proof of (2.1.2) using (2.2.1) goes as follows. If q p-gons meet at each vertex,

$$\Rightarrow pF = 2E = qV \tag{2.2.2}$$

$$\Rightarrow E = \frac{qV}{2},\ F = \frac{qV}{p} \tag{2.2.3}$$

Substituting (2.2.3) into (2.2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow V - \frac{qV}{2} + \frac{qV}{p} = 2 \\
&\Rightarrow 2pV - qpV + 2qV = 4p \\
&\Rightarrow V = \frac{4p}{2p - qp + 2q} \\
&\Rightarrow 2p - qp + 2q > 0 \\
&\Rightarrow (p-2)(q-2) < 4
\end{aligned}$$

which is (2.1.2).

This second proof proves much more. We have found *all regular maps* (graphs, networks) on the surface of a sphere whatever the boundaries may be, without *any* assumptions in regard to they're being circles or skew curves. Moreover the exact shape of the sphere is immaterial for our statements, which hold on a cube or any hemeomorph of the sphere.

This *topological* proof of (2.1.2) is famous and can be found in numerous accessible sources, for example RADEMACHER & TOEPLITZ [7].

2.3 Proofs of Archimedes' theorem

Euclidean-type *metrical* proofs of ARCHIMEDES' theorem are available in the literature (see CROMWELL [2] and LINES [6]) and take their origin in a proof due to KEPLER [5].

They use the polyhedral angle inequality to prove:

- at most ***three*** different kinds of face polygons can appear around any solid angle;
- three polygons of different kinds *cannot* form a solid angle if any of them has an ***odd*** number of sides

One then exhaustively examines all possible cases.

The situation is quite different with respect to a *topological* proof of ARCHIMEDES' theorem. Indeed, after we had developed our proof, as presented in this paper, we were able to find only one reference: T.R.S. WALSH [8] in 1972.

His proof, too, is based exclusively on EULER's polyhedral formula, and so there are overlaps with ours. However, our proof is quite different, both in arrangement and details, and in purpose. The pedagogical side is insisted upon in our proof so as to make it as elementary and self-contained as possible for as wide an audience as possible. We comment further on the structure of this proof after the proof of **Lemma 3..**

3 Three lemmas

For any polyhedron we define:

- V:= total number of vertices;
- V_p:= total number of vertices incident with p edges;
- E:= total number of edges;
- F:= total number of faces;
- F_p:= total number of p-gonal faces;

Here, and from now on, ***polyhedron*** means any map on the sphere for which EULER's theorem holds.

3.1 Lemma 1

The following lemma is due to EULER [4] and is well known. We sketch the proof for completeness.

Lemma 1. *The following relations are valid in any polyhedron:*

1. $3F_3 + 2F_4 + F_5 = 12 + 2V_4 + 4V_5 + \cdots + F_7 + 2F_8 + \cdots$.
2. *At least one face has to be a* ***triangle****, or a* ***quadrilateral****, or a* ***pentagon****; i.e., there is* ***no*** *polyhedron whose faces are all hexagons, or polygons with* ***six*** *or more sides.*

Proof:
For **1.** we note

- (i) $F_3 + F_4 + \cdots + F_{V-1} = F$;
- (ii) $3F_3 + 4F_4 + \cdots + (V-1)F_{V-1} = 2E$;
- (iii) $V_3 + V_4 + \cdots + F_{V-1} = V$;
- (iv) $3V_3 + 4V_4 + \cdots + (F-1)V_{F-1} = 2E$.

Now multiply (i) by 6, subtract (ii), and use (iii), (iv), and EULER's formula.

For **2.** observe that F_3, F_4, and F_5 cannot all be zero in **1.** at the same time.

□

3.2 Lemma 2

Definition 1. *A polyhedron is called* **Archimedean** *or* **semiregular** *if the cyclic order of the degrees of the faces surrounding each vertex is the* **same** *to within rotation and reflection. [8]*

Lemma 2. *In any Archimedean polyhedron:*

1.

$$\boxed{rV = 2E}$$

where r edges are incident at each vertex.

2.

$$\boxed{\frac{pF_q}{q} = V}$$

where q p-gons are incident at each vertex.

3.

$$\boxed{V = \frac{2}{1 - \frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_r}}}$$

where one p_1-gon, one p_2-gon, $\cdots$, one p_r-gon all meet at one vertex and where the p_k don't all have to be different.

Proof:

For **1.**, since there are 2 vertices on any edge, the product rV counts each edge twice, so $= 2E$.

For **2.**, pF_p counts the total number of vertices *once* if *one* p-gon is incident at each vertex, *twice* if *twp* p-gons are incident there, $\cdots$, q times if q p-gons are incident at the vertex. That is, $pF_p = qV$.

For **3.**, solve **1.** for E, use (i) of the proof of **Lemma 1.1**, solve **2.** for F_p, substitute in EULER's formula, solve for V, and write any fraction

$$\frac{q}{p} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p}}_{q \text{ times}}.$$

□

3.3 Lemma 3

This lemma limits the number of candidate polygons surrounding each vertex.

Lemma 3. *If r edges are incident with each vertex of an Archimedean polyhedron then*

$$\boxed{r \leqslant 5}$$

Proof:
By **3.** of **Lemma 2.**

$$1 - \frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_r} > 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_r} > \frac{r-2}{2}$$

But,

$$p_1 \geqslant 3,\ p_2 \geqslant 3, \cdots,\ p_r \geqslant 3$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{3} \geqslant \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_r} > \frac{r-2}{2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{r}{3} > \frac{r-2}{2}$$
$$\Rightarrow r < 6$$
$$\Rightarrow r \leqslant 5.$$

□

It is of interest to compare the method of proof, using EULER's theorem, for the ***regular*** polyhedra and the ***Archimedean*** polyhedra.

In ***both*** cases the essential step is to use the fact that ***the denominator of the formula for the number of vertices, V, is positive:***

$$V = \frac{2}{1 - \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{p}} \qquad \text{regular}$$

$$V = \frac{2}{1 - \frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_r}} \qquad \text{Archimedean}$$

In the case of the *regular* polyhedron the inequality

$$1 - \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{p} > 0$$

can be rearranged into the elegant inequality

$$(p-2)(r-2) < 4,$$

which, as we saw before, leads to five solutions (p, r).

Unfortunately, in the case of the *Archimedean* polyhedra the inequality

$$1 - \frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_r} > 0$$

apparently does ***not*** lend itself to an algebraic rearrangement into a product, and so must be studied by *an exhaustive enumeration of cases*.

Nevertheless, it's worth emphasizing that the basic structure of the two arguments is the same at the core, although the elaboration of the cases in the Archimedean case demands some topological counting arguments that are not entirely trivial.

4 Topological Proof of Archimedes' theorem

By **Lemma 3** we have to consider three cases:

- Case 1: Five faces meet at a vertex $r = 5$.
- Case 2: Four faces meet at a vertex $r = 4$.
- Case 3: Three faces meet at a vertex $r = 3$.

4.1 Case 1: five faces meet at a vertex: r=5

By **Lemma 3.2**,

$$1 - \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p_4} + \frac{1}{p_5} = \frac{2}{V} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p_4} + \frac{1}{p_5} - \frac{3}{2} > 0 \tag{4.1.1}$$

By **Lemma 1.2**, at least one of $p_1, \cdots, p_5$ has to be 3, 4, or 5.

4.1.1 At least one face is a triangle: $p_1 = 3$

Assuming $p_1 = 3$,

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p_4} + \frac{1}{p_5} - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{3} > 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p_4} + \frac{1}{p_5} - \frac{7}{6} > 0$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&3 \leqslant p_2 \leqslant p_3 \leqslant p_4 \leqslant p_5 \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{1}{3} \geqslant \frac{1}{p_2} \geqslant \frac{1}{p_3} \geqslant \frac{1}{p_4} \geqslant \frac{1}{p_5} \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{p_5}-\frac{7}{6} > 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_5}-\frac{1}{6} > 0 \\
&\Rightarrow p_5 < 6 \\
&\Rightarrow p_5 = 5,\ 4,\ 3 \\
&\Rightarrow (p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4,p_5) = (3,p_2,p_3,p_4,5),(3,p_2,p_3,p_4,4),(3,p_2,p_3,p_4,3)
\end{aligned}
$$

However, if we take

$$
\begin{aligned}
&p_2 \geqslant 3, p_3 \geqslant 3, p_4 \geqslant 4, p_5 \geqslant 4 \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{1}{p_3}+\frac{1}{p_4}+\frac{1}{p_5} \leqslant \frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and this contradicts (4.1.1). Therefore we are left with only three quintuplets:

$$
\boxed{(p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4,p_5) = (3,3,3,3,5),(3,3,3,3,4),(3,3,3,3,3).} \tag{4.1.2}
$$

These correspond, respectively, to the ***snub dodecahedron***, the ***snub cube***, and the ***icosahedron***, a regular polyhedron.

4.1.2 All faces have at least four sides: $p_1 \geqslant 4$

Again, we must assume

$$
\begin{aligned}
&4 \leqslant p_1 \leqslant p_2 \leqslant p_3 \leqslant p_4 \leqslant p_5 \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{p_5}-\frac{3}{2} > 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_5}-\frac{1}{2} > 0 \\
&\Rightarrow p_5 < 2 \ (\Rightarrow\Leftarrow)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since one of the cases $p_1 = 3$ or $p_1 \geqslant 4$ must hold, and since they exhaust all possiblities with $r = 5$, we are left with:

$$
\boxed{\begin{array}{rcl}
(p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4,p_5) & = & 3^4.5\cdots\textbf{snub dodecahedron} \\
& = & 3^4.4\cdots\textbf{snubcube} \\
& = & 3^5\cdots\textbf{regular icosahedron}
\end{array}} \tag{4.1.3}
$$

4.2 Case 2: four faces meet at a vertex: r=4

By **Lemma 2.3**,

$$1-\frac{4}{2}+\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{1}{p_3}+\frac{1}{p_4}>0$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{1}{p_3}+\frac{1}{p_4}-1>0.$$

Again, at least one of the p_k must be 3, 4, or 5.

4.2.1 At least one face is a triangle: $p_1 = 3$

We will write p, q, r instead of p_1, p_2, p_3. Thus the inequality becomes

$$\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{r}-\frac{2}{3}>0. \tag{4.2.1}$$

We examine a typical polyhedron:

- it must have a triangle at each vertex;
- there must be 4 edges incident at each vetex;
- the vertices must all have the same configuration in the same order to within rotation and reflection.

As we label the faces round each vertex of a triangle, say counterclockwise, from the lower right, we are compelled to conclude that *no matter how we label the vertices* ***at least two of the*** **p**, **q**, **r** ***must be equal.*** Putting $r = p$ in the inequality (4.2.1), we obtain

$$\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{2}{3}>0$$
$$\Rightarrow (p-3)(2q-3)<9$$
$$\Rightarrow 1<2q-3<9,\ (2q-3)\ \text{ odd}$$
$$\Rightarrow 2q-3=3,\ 5,\ \ 7$$
$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} 2q-3=5\ or\ 7, \Rightarrow p-3=0,\ \ 1\Rightarrow p=3,\ \ 4\ ; \text{otherwise} \\ 2q-3=3\ \Rightarrow p-3=0,\ 1,\ 2\ \Rightarrow p=3,\ 4,\ 5. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we obtain

p	3	3	3	4	4	4	5
q	3	4	5	3	4	5	3

Finally we observe that $2q - 3 \geqslant 9$ is permitted if $p - 3 = 0$.

Therefore, we are left with:

$(p,q)=(4,5)\Rightarrow (p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4)=(3.4.5.4)\cdots$ **small rombicosidodecahedron**
$(p,q)=(5,3)\Rightarrow (p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4)=(3.5)^2\cdots$ **icosidodecahedron**
$(p,q)=(4,4)\Rightarrow (p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4)=3.4^3\cdots$ **small rhombicuboctahedron**
$(p,q)=(4,3)\Rightarrow (p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4)=(3.4)^2\cdots$ **cuboctahedron**
$(p,q)=(3,3)\Rightarrow (p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4)=3^4\cdots$ **regular octahedron**
$(p,q)=(3,m)\Rightarrow (p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4)=3^3.m\ (m\geqslant 4)\cdots$ **antiprism**

4.2.2 All faces have at least four sides: $p_1 \geqslant 4$

We assume that

$$\begin{aligned} &4 \leqslant p_1 \leqslant p_2 \leqslant p_3 \leqslant p_4 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{p_4} > 0 \\ &\Rightarrow p_4 < 4 \ (But, \ p_4 \geqslant 4 (\Rightarrow \Leftarrow)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $p_1 \geqslant 4$ can't happen.

There are no other cases with $r = 4$.

4.3 Case 3: three faces meet at a vertes: r=3

By **Lemma 2.3**,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} > 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{2} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since at least one of the p_k must be equal to 3, 4, or 5, we consider each case separately.

4.3.1 At least one face is a triangle: $p_1 = 3$

Then,

$$\frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{6} > 0$$

Looking at the configuration we see:

- each vertex has three edges incident to it
- two are the edges of a triangle and the third of a p_3-gonal face

Labeling it we see that

$$p_2 = p_3,$$

and therefore the above equality becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{p_3} - \frac{1}{6} > 0 \\ \Rightarrow p_3 < 12 \\ 3 \leqslant p_3 \leqslant 11. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. *p_3 is **even** or $p_3 = 3$.*

Proof. We look at the configuration with $p_3 \geqslant 4$. Since the vertices must all look alike, as we traverse counterclockwise (say) the p_3 vertices of a p_3-gonal face, we observe that the edges of the face fall into two groups:

- those that are the common edge of two p_3-gonal faces;
- those that are the common edge of a triangle and a p_3-gonal face.

Moreover, they occur in adjacent pairs, and finally, as we complete one circuit and return to our starting point, having started with a triangular edge, we end up with an edge common to two p_3-gonal faces. Thus we traverse an *integral number of* ***pairs*** *of sides* as we percorse the p_3-gonal face once, i.e., p_3 is ***even***. □

The only even numbers p_3 between 3 and 11 are

$$p_3 = 4,\ 6,\ 8,\ 10.$$

Therefore we obtain

$p_3 = 3 \Rightarrow (p_1, p_2, p_3) = 3^3 \cdots$ **regular tetrahedron**
$p_3 = 4 \Rightarrow (p_1, p_2, p_3) = 3.4^2 \cdots$ **triangular prism**
$p_3 = 6 \Rightarrow (p_1, p_2, p_3) = 3.6^2 \cdots$ **truncated tetrahedron**
$p_3 = 8 \Rightarrow (p_1, p_2, p_3) = 3.8^2 \cdots$ **truncated cube**
$p_3 = 10 \Rightarrow (p_1, p_2, p_3) = 3.10^2 \ \cdots$ **truncated dodecahedron**

4.3.2 All faces have at least four sides and one exactly four sides: $p_1 = 4 \leqslant p_2 \leqslant p_3$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{2} > 0 \\ &\Rightarrow (p_2 - 4)(p_3 - 4) < 16. \end{aligned}$$

The same sort of configuration argument shows *that* p_2 *and* p_3 *are* ***even***. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &p_2 = 2a,\ p_3 = 2b\ (a \leqslant b) \\ &\Rightarrow (2a - 4)(2b - 4) < 16 \\ &\Rightarrow (a - 2)(b - 2) < 4 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &a - 2 = 1,\ b - 2 = 3 \Rightarrow a = 3,\ b = 5 \Rightarrow p_2 = 6,\ p_3 = 10 \\ &a - 2 = 1,\ b - 2 = 2 \Rightarrow a = 3,\ b = 4 \Rightarrow p_2 = 6,\ p_3 = 8 \\ &a - 2 = 1,\ b - 2 = 1 \Rightarrow a = 3,\ b = 3 \Rightarrow p_2 = 6,\ p_3 = 6 \\ &a - 2 = 0,\ b - 2 = n \Rightarrow a = 2,\ b = 2 + n \Rightarrow p_2 = 4,\ p_3 = 2(2 + n) \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude

$(p_1, p_2, p_3) = (4.6.10) \cdots$ **great rhombicosidodecahedron**
$(p_1, p_2, p_3) = (4.6.8) \cdots$ **great rhombicuboctahedron**
$(p_1, p_2, p_3) = 4.6^2 \cdots$ **truncated octahedron**
$(p_1, p_2, p_3) = 4^3 \cdots$ **cube**
$(p_1, p_2, p_3) = 4^2.m \ (m \geqslant 4) \ \cdots$ **prism**

We note that this subcase covers precisely the polyhedra with ***bipartite*** graphs, i.e., if $\mathbf{V}$ is the set of vertices of the polyhedron and if $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V_1} \bigcup \mathbf{V_2}$ while $\mathbf{V_1} \bigcap \mathbf{V_2} = \emptyset$ and each edge of the graph goes from $\mathbf{V_1}$ to $\mathbf{V_2}$. Equivalently, each p_k is ***even***.[1]

4.3.3 All faces have at least five sides and one exactly five sides: $p_1 = 5 \leqslant p_2 \leqslant p_3$

This is quite similar the the previous section. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & 5 = p_1 \leqslant p_2 \leqslant p_3 \\ & \Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{3}{10} > 0 \\ & \Rightarrow (3p_2 - 10)(3p_3 - 10) < 100. \end{aligned}$$

Again, a configuration argument shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & p_2 = p_3 \\ & \Rightarrow (3p_2 - 2)^2 < 100 \\ & \Rightarrow 15 \leqslant 3p_2 < 20 \\ & \Rightarrow p_2 = 5,\ 6, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$(p_1, p_2, p_3) = 5^3 \cdots$ **regular dodecahedron**
$(p_1, p_2, p_3) = 5.6^2 \cdots$ **truncated icosahedron**

5 Summary of our results

We present a list of the polyhedra we have found and the section of the proof where they were determined.

For the regular polyhedra:

Regular Polyhedra

[1] We thank Michael Josephy for this observation.

NAME	Section where found
Tetrahedron	4.3.1
Octahedron	4.2.1
Icosahedron	4.1.2
Cube	4.3.2
Dodecahedron	4.3.3

For the Archimedean polyhedra:

Archimedean Polyhedra

NAME	Section where found
cuboctahedron	4.2.1
great rhombicosidodecahedron	4.3.2
great rhombicuboctahedron	4.3.2
icosidodecahedron	4.2.1
small rhombicosidodecahedron	4.2.1
small rhombicuboctahedron	4.2.1
snub cube	4.1.2
snub dodecahedron	4.1.2
truncated cube	4.3.1
truncated dodecahedron	4.3.1
truncated icosahedron	4.3.3
truncated octahedron	4.3.2
truncated tetrahedron	4.3.1
prisms	4.3.1, 4.3.2
antiprisms	4.2.1

And we have completed the topological proof of ARCHIMEDES' theorem.

We have *not* demonstrated that the polyhedra enumerated in ARCHIMEDES' theorem are in fact *constructable*. Again, this is done in the works of CROMWELL [2] and LINES [6].

6 Final remarks

As in the case of the topological proof that there are five regular polyhedra, we have proven much more! We have found all ***semiregular maps*** on any homeomorph of the sphere, a result of great generality. Although the metric proofs are of great interest, intrinsically and historically, the topological proof shows that they appeal to unessential properties of their metric realizations and that, at the root of it all, ARCHIMEDES' theorem is a consequence of certain combinatorial relations among the numbers of vertices, edges, and faces.

One wonders what ARCHIMEDES would have thought of our proof of his theorem. We hope that he would have liked it.

Acknowledgment

Support from the Vicerrectoría de Investigación of the University of Costa Rica is acknowledged.

References

[1] Archimedes, *Greek Mathemtical Works: Volume II*, translated by Ivor Thomas, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusets, 2000.

[2] Peter R. Cromwell, *Polyhedra*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2001.

[3] Martyn H. Cundy and A.P. Rollett, *Mathematical Models*, Oxford at the Claendon Press, New York, 1961.

[4] L. Euler "Elementa Doctrinae Solidorum," *Novi Commentarii Academiae Scientiarum Petropolitanae* **4** (1752/53), 109-140.

[5] J. Kepler, *Harmonices Mundi Libri V*, Linz, 1619. Also in *Opera Omnia*, Vol. 5, Frankfurt, 1864, 75-334.

[6] L. Lines, *Solid Geometry*, Dover Publications, New York, 1965.

[7] H. Rademacher , O. Toeplitz, *The Enjoyment of Mathematics*, Dover Publications, New York, 1990.

[8] T.R.S. Walsh "Characterizing the vertex Neighbourhoods of semi-regular polyhedra," *Geometriae Dedicata* **1** (1972), 117-123.